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Contact Information
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Title * Associate General Counsel

E-mail * angela.dunn@nasdaqomx.com
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(Title *)

Date 01/13/2015

By Edward S. Knight

NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.
| **Form 19b-4 Information * ** | The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act. |
| **Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change * ** | The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) |
| **Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies * ** | The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) |
| **Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications** | Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G. |
| **Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire** | Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change. |
| **Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies** | The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working. |
| **Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text** | The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change. |
| **Partial Amendment** | If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions. |
1. **Text of the Proposed Rule Change**

   (a) NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)\(^1\) and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,\(^2\) proposes to extend the pilot program that eliminates position limits for options on the SPDR® S&P 500® exchange-traded fund (“SPY ETF” or “SPY”),\(^3\) which list and trade under the symbol SPY (“SPY Pilot Program”).

   A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the *Federal Register* is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and the text of the amended Exchange Rule is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.

   (b) Not applicable.

   (c) Not applicable.

2. **Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization**

   The proposed rule change was approved by senior management of the Exchange pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Directors of the Exchange on July 16, 2014. Exchange staff will advise the Board of Directors of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action by the Exchange is necessary for the filing of the rule change.

   Questions and comments on the proposed rule change may be directed to Angela Saccomandi Dunn, Associate General Counsel, The NASDAQ OMX Group at (215) 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

---


\(^3\) “SPDR®,” “Standard & Poor’s®,” “S&P®,” “S&P 500®,” and “Standard & Poor’s 500” are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor’s Financial Services LLC. The SPY ETF represents ownership in the SPDR S&P 500 Trust, a unit investment trust that generally corresponds to the price and yield performance of the SPDR S&P 500 Index.
3. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change
   
a. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend Rule 1001, entitled “Position Limits,” to extend the current pilot, which expires on February 4, 2015, to July 12, 2015 (“Extended Pilot”). This filing does not propose any substantive changes to the SPY Pilot Program. In proposing to extend the SPY Pilot Program, the Exchange reaffirms its consideration of several factors that supported the original proposal of the SPY Pilot Program, including (1) the availability of economically equivalent products and their respective position limits; (2) the liquidity of the option and the underlying security; (3) the market capitalization of the underlying security and the related index; (4) the reporting of large positions and requirements surrounding margin; and (5) the potential for market on close volatility.

The Exchange submitted a report to the Commission on January 2, 2015, which report reflected, during the time period from December 1, 2013 through November 30, 2014, the trading of standardized SPY options with no position limits consistent with option exchange provisions. The report was prepared in the manner specified in Phlx’s filing extending the SPY Pilot Program. The Exchange notes that it is unaware of any problems created by the SPY Pilot Program and does not foresee any as a result of the proposed extension.

---

4 The report is attached as Exhibit 3.

As with the original proposal, related to the SPY Pilot Program, the Exchange represents that a Pilot Report will be submitted at least thirty (30) days before the end of the Extended Pilot and would analyze that period. The Pilot Report will detail the size and different types of strategies employed with respect to positions established as a result of the elimination of position limits in SPY. In addition, the report will note whether any problems resulted due to the no limit approach and any other information that may be useful in evaluating the effectiveness of the Extended Pilot. The Pilot Report will compare the impact of the SPY Pilot Program, if any, on the volumes of SPY options and the volatility in the price of the underlying SPY shares, particularly at expiration during the Extended Pilot. In preparing the report the Exchange will utilize various data elements such as volume and open interest. In addition the Exchange will make available to Commission staff data elements relating to the effectiveness of the SPY Pilot Program.

Conditional on the findings in the Pilot Report, the Exchange will file with the Commission a proposal to extend the pilot program, adopt the pilot program on a permanent basis or terminate the pilot. If the SPY Pilot Program is not extended or adopted on a permanent basis by the expiration of the Extended Pilot, the position limits for SPY would revert to limits in effect at the commencement of the SPY Pilot Program.

b. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act\(^6\) in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act\(^7\) in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and

---


\(^7\) 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).
equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would be beneficial to market participants, including market makers, institutional investors and retail investors, by permitting them to establish greater positions when pursuing their investment goals and needs. The Exchange also believes that economically equivalent products should be treated in an equivalent manner so as to avoid regulatory arbitrage, especially with respect to position limits. Treating SPY and SPX options differently by virtue of imposing different position limits is inconsistent with the notion of promoting just and equitable principles of trade and removing impediments to perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market. At the same time, the Exchange believes that the elimination of position limits for SPY options would not increase market volatility or facilitate the ability to manipulate the market.

4.  **Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition**

The proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In this regard and as indicated below, the Exchange notes that the rule change is being proposed as a competitive response to similar filings by other options exchanges. The Exchange believes this proposed rule change is necessary to permit fair competition among the options exchanges and to establish uniform position limits for a multiply listed options class.
5. **Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others**

No written comments were either solicited or received.

6. **Extension of Time Period for Commission Action**

The Exchange does not consent to an extension of the time period for Commission action.

7. **Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)**

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act\(^8\) and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)\(^9\) thereunder, the Exchange has designated this proposal as one that effects a change that: (i) does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) does not impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) by its terms, does not become operative for 30 days after the date of the filing, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The proposed rule change is substantially similar to extensions made by other options exchanges to existing pilot programs to eliminate the position and exercise limits for physically-settled SPY options on a pilot basis.\(^10\)

Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior


to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement. Furthermore, a proposed rule change filed pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6) under the Act\textsuperscript{11} normally does not become operative for 30 days after the date of its filing. However, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)\textsuperscript{12} permits the Commission to designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has requested that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay and designate the proposed rule change to become operative upon filing. Waiver of the operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because the instant proposed rule change does not involve any substantive changes to the Exchange’s Rules and only seeks to extend the previously approved SPY Pilot Program. Further, the extension will ensure fair competition among exchanges by allowing the Exchange to continue to eliminate the position and exercise limits for physically-settled SPY options on a pilot basis and operate the same type of SPY Pilot Program as currently exists on other options exchanges. The waiver is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because it will permit the SPY Pilot Program to continue without interruption.

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

The proposed rule change is based on other options exchange rule changes.\textsuperscript{13}

9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

\textsuperscript{11} 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

\textsuperscript{12} 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

\textsuperscript{13} See note 10.
10. **Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act**

   Not applicable.

11. **Exhibits**

   1. Notice of proposed rule for publication in the *Federal Register*.
   
   3. SPY Pilot Report.
   
EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
(Release No. ; File No. SR-Phlx-2015-07)

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to SPY Pilot Program

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)\(^1\), and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,\(^2\) notice is hereby given that on January 13, 2015, NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to extend the pilot program that eliminates position limits for options on the SPDR\(^3\) S&P 500\(^3\) exchange-traded fund (“SPY ETF” or “SPY”), which list and trade under the symbol SPY (“SPY Pilot Program”).

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Website at http://nasdaqomxphlx.chwallstreet.com/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

---


\(^3\) “SPDR\(^3\),” “Standard & Poor’s\(^3\),” “S&P\(^3\),” “S&P 500\(^3\),” and “Standard & Poor’s 500” are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor’s Financial Services LLC. The SPY ETF represents ownership in the SPDR S&P 500 Trust, a unit investment trust that generally corresponds to the price and yield performance of the SPDR S&P 500 Index.
II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend Rule 1001, entitled “Position Limits,” to extend the current pilot, which expires on February 4, 2015, to July 12, 2015 (“Extended Pilot’’). This filing does not propose any substantive changes to the SPY Pilot Program. In proposing to extend the SPY Pilot Program, the Exchange reaffirms its consideration of several factors that supported the original proposal of the SPY Pilot Program, including (1) the availability of economically equivalent products and their respective position limits; (2) the liquidity of the option and the underlying security; (3) the market capitalization of the underlying security and the related index; (4) the reporting of large positions and requirements surrounding margin; and (5) the potential for market on close volatility.

The Exchange submitted a report to the Commission on January 2, 2015, which report reflected, during the time period from December 1, 2013 through November 30, 2014, the trading of standardized SPY options with no position limits consistent with
option exchange provisions. The report was prepared in the manner specified in Phlx’s filing extending the SPY Pilot Program. The Exchange notes that it is unaware of any problems created by the SPY Pilot Program and does not foresee any as a result of the proposed extension.

As with the original proposal, related to the SPY Pilot Program, the Exchange represents that a Pilot Report will be submitted at least thirty (30) days before the end of the Extended Pilot and would analyze that period. The Pilot Report will detail the size and different types of strategies employed with respect to positions established as a result of the elimination of position limits in SPY. In addition, the report will note whether any problems resulted due to the no limit approach and any other information that may be useful in evaluating the effectiveness of the Extended Pilot. The Pilot Report will compare the impact of the SPY Pilot Program, if any, on the volumes of SPY options and the volatility in the price of the underlying SPY shares, particularly at expiration during the Extended Pilot. In preparing the report the Exchange will utilize various data elements such as volume and open interest. In addition the Exchange will make available to Commission staff data elements relating to the effectiveness of the SPY Pilot Program.

Conditional on the findings in the Pilot Report, the Exchange will file with the Commission a proposal to extend the pilot program, adopt the pilot program on a permanent basis or terminate the pilot. If the SPY Pilot Program is not extended or adopted on a permanent basis by the expiration of the Extended Pilot, the position limits for SPY would revert to limits in effect at the commencement of the SPY Pilot Program.

---

4 The report is attached as Exhibit 3.

2. **Statutory Basis**

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act\(^6\) in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act\(^7\) in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would be beneficial to market participants, including market makers, institutional investors and retail investors, by permitting them to establish greater positions when pursuing their investment goals and needs. The Exchange also believes that economically equivalent products should be treated in an equivalent manner so as to avoid regulatory arbitrage, especially with respect to position limits. Treating SPY and SPX options differently by virtue of imposing different position limits is inconsistent with the notion of promoting just and equitable principles of trade and removing impediments to perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market. At the same time, the Exchange believes that the elimination of position limits for SPY options would not increase market volatility or facilitate the ability to manipulate the market.

---


\(^7\) 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).
B. **Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition**

The proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In this regard and as indicated below, the Exchange notes that the rule change is being proposed as a competitive response to similar filings by other options exchanges. The Exchange believes this proposed rule change is necessary to permit fair competition among the options exchanges and to establish uniform position limits for a multiply listed options class.

C. **Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others**

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. **Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act\(^8\) and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.\(^9\)

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the

---


\(^9\) 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.
Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2015-07 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2015-07. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any
person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2015-07 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.10

Kevin M O’Neill
Deputy Secretary

---

EXHIBIT 3

NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC
SPY Position Limit Pilot Report
Reporting period December 1, 2013 to November 30, 2014

Reported On: December 15, 2014

General

This Position Limit Report provides statistics on SPY options contracts traded, Open Interest, Volatility (Standard Deviation), and average position per account for two comparing time periods:

- December 1, 2011 to November 30, 2012 (hereafter referred as “Before”).
- December 2, 2013 to November 28, 2014 (hereafter referred as “After”)

Summary

Overall, when comparing the before and after periods (in Section 1 below), average Open Interest and the average number of contracts traded remained relatively unchanged, while the SPY volatility increased.

However, when examining trading activity with a given expiration month, it is noted that over both the December 2011 - November 2012 and December 2013 – November 2014 timeframe, the average open interest increased 13.6% and 11.5% respectively (see Section 2 below). In addition, the average number of contracts also increased as trading nears expiration. These continued increases are highly important as the number of strikes in SPY options become more widely available (i.e., exchanges were recent granted the ability to list seven (7) consecutive weekly expirations). The additional series allow the customer to establish positions in earlier expiries and roll these week after week, up and including the week of expiration.

The exchanges also looked at different complex strategy types and the relation to the average positions in accounts. Compared to before, the overall activity in complex strategy trades in SPY increased despite the number of complex spread strategies such as combos, straddles, and buy-writes declining or remaining the same. All other spread strategies increased in the last twelve months compared to before and was mainly driven by smaller sized orders. Spread trading was more prevalent even as the average position per account remains relatively unchanged. This may indicate that a greater number of trading accounts contributing to the increase in complex strategy trading, adding benefits such as higher liquidity in spread trading.
Section 1 – 12 month review on Open Interest, Contracts Traded, and SPY Price

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December - November</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Before</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Open Interest</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contracts Traded</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPY Share Price</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Dev.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared to before the average Open Interest and the average number of contracts traded remained relatively unchanged as volatility in SPY share price increased.

Section 2 – Activity during Expiration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Before</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th><strong>After</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th><strong>% Chg</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Open Interest</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>19,238,615</td>
<td>21,850,695</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>18,330,063</td>
<td>20,440,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contracts Traded</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>2,120,787</td>
<td>3,422,670</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
<td>2,266,453</td>
<td>2,956,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPY Share Price</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Dev.</td>
<td>6.1764</td>
<td>6.6379</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>7.9922</td>
<td>7.5691</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Average Open Interest and average number of contracts traded increased in both the before and after timeframe as trading nears expiration despite a decline in price volatility.

Section 3 – Complex Strategy Trade Types and Changes in Average Position Account

**SPY Complex Average Trades per Day by Strategy Type**

Vs SPY Average Position per Account (million shares)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy Type</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buy-Writes</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straddles</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combos</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spreads</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average Complex Trade Size (contracts)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy Type</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buy-Writes</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straddles</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combos</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spreads</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Complex Trade Size (contracts)

- Buy-Writes: 150 before, 348 after
- Straddles: 77 before, 47 after
- Combos: 50 before, 39 after
- Spreads: 74 before, 59 after
Exhibit 5

New text is underlined; deleted text is in brackets.

NASDAQ OMX PHLX Rules

* * * * *

Options Rules

* * * * *

Rule 1001. Position Limits
Except with the prior written approval of the Exchange in each instance, no member or member organization shall effect, for any account in which such member or member organization has an interest or for the account of any partner, officer, director or employee thereof or for the account of any customer, an opening transaction (whether on the Exchange or on another participating exchange) in an option contract of any class of options dealt in on the Exchange if the member or member organization has reason to believe that, as a result of such transaction, the member or member organization or partner, officer, director or employee thereof or customer would, acting alone or in concert with others, directly or indirectly control an aggregate position: (a) of more than 25,000, 50,000, 75,000, 200,000 or 250,000 option contracts (whether long or short), put or call option contracts on the same side of the market relating to the same underlying security, which limit is determined in accordance with commentary .05(a), in the case of options on a stock or Exchange-Traded Fund Share, (except with respect to put or call option contracts overlying the PowerShares QQQ Trust ("QQQQ")® for which the position limit shall be 900,000 contracts on the same side of the market; options overlying the iShares® Russell 2000® Index ("IWM"), for which the position limit shall be 500,000 contracts; options overlying the Diamonds Trust ("DIA"), for which the position limit shall be 300,000 contracts on the same side of the market; options overlying the iShares MSCI Emerging Markets Index Fund ("EEM"), for which the position limit shall be 500,000 contracts on the same side of the market; and options overlying the Standard and Poor's Depositary Receipts ("SPDRs"), which shall have no position limits) or (b) with respect to a stock or Exchange-Traded Fund Share option not dealt in on the Exchange, exceeding the applicable position limit established by the exchange on which the option contract is transacted, when the member or member organization is not a member of that other exchange, or such other number of option contracts as may be fixed from time to time by the Exchange as the position limit for one or more classes or series. Position limits for foreign currency options shall be determined in accordance with Commentary .05(c).

Position Limits for options on SPDRs are subject to a Pilot Program through [February 4, 2015]July 12, 2015.

• • • Commentary: ---------------
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